

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
MIDDLE DISTRICT**

DESIREE PELLETIER,	:	No. 160 MM 2025
	:	
Respondent	:	
	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
	:	
UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION	:	
BOARD OF REVIEW,	:	
	:	
Petitioner	:	

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 12th day of August, 2026, in consideration of the “Application for Relief in the Form of a Motion to Reconsider the Prothonotary’s Refusal to Accept the Petition for Allowance of Appeal,” which seeks this Court’s review of our Prothonotary’s rejection and return of a proffered Petition for Allowance of Appeal, it is NOTED that Petitioner improperly filed a Petition for Allowance of Appeal to seek review of a non-final order pursuant to the collateral-order doctrine. See Pa.R.A.P. 1112(a) (setting forth the general rule that an allocatur petition may be filed as to any “final order” entered by the Superior Court or by the Commonwealth Court in its appellate jurisdiction).

Rather, any such review should have been sought via a petition for review. See Pa.R.A.P. 313, Comment (“If an order meets the definition of a collateral order, it is appealed by filing a notice of appeal or petition for review.”).

In this context, Petitioner is ALLOTTED 21 days in which to submit a Petition for Review, which will be deemed to have been filed on the date it presented its Petition for Allowance of Appeal. See Pa.R.A.P. 1503 (Improvident Appeals or Original Jurisdiction Actions) (“If a filing should be labeled a petition for review, but is not, this alone shall not be a ground for dismissal. The filing shall be regarded and acted upon as a petition for review and as if filed at the time the improvident filing was made.”).